Exceptional service in the national interest



### Quantum computing is and is not amazing



#### Kenneth Rudinger



http://www.utahpeoplespost.com/2014/09/researchers-produced-atom-sounds/

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERGY

#### Quantum computing is different

If all the silicon in the world's crust were converted to Pentium chips, it would take the age of the universe to factor a 5,000-bit number.

1







# Overview



- Classical information
- Quantum information
- What could you do with a quantum computer?
- What couldn't you do with a quantum computer?
- What do we need to get there?

# Overview



- High-level talk
- Take-aways:
  - 1. The axioms governing quantum computation are *different* from those governing classical computation.
  - 2. Quantum computers will be able to perform *certain* computational tasks faster as a result.
  - 3. This is *not true* for general tasks.
  - 4. The quantum speedups will probably still have tremendous societal impact.

All the rest is commentary.

### Classical information



4

Classical information is made up of bits.

#### 0110110100010





#### "Information is physical." -Rolf Landauer (1927-1999)



#### Slide c/o: Andrew Landahl/Sandia

#### What can we do with information?

- Run algorithms!
  - Searching
  - Sorting
  - Arithmetic
  - ••••
  - Machine learning

• Operate on the bits



Operations are done physically!





# Towards quantum computing



- Classical physics (Newton, Maxwell, etc.) is *incomplete*.
- Need quantum mechanics to explain low-energy, low-temperature, small-scale phenomena.
- If the rules of physics are not what we thought, and information is physical, then are the rules of computation not what we thought?
- Yes!

#### Quantum systems





 $|1\rangle$  $|0\rangle$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle + |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$ 

8

Slide in part c/o: Andrew Landahl/Sandia

# "Axioms" for QC



- Schrodinger equation, uncertainty principle, etc.
- Physical observables are *quantized*.
- Describe two-level state ("qubit") as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \longleftrightarrow \vec{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

- "Superposition"  $\Leftrightarrow$  "(Normalized) linear combination"
- $\Pr(0) = |\mathbf{\alpha}|^2$ ;  $\Pr(1) = |\mathbf{\beta}|^2$

"Collapsing the wavefunction."



# What about multiple qubits?



• *n*-qubit state is superposition of up to  $2^n$  basis states:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha_0|0\ldots 0\rangle + \ldots + \alpha_{2^n-1}|1\ldots 1\rangle$$

- Need an exponential number of parameters to describe n qubit system.
- State can be *entangled*!

$$\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|00\rangle + |11\rangle\right)$$

• Such states can have *non-classical* correlations.

### "Axioms" for QC



- *n*-qubit state is described by up to  $2^n$  complex amplitudes.
- Measurement yields one of  $2^n$  outcomes.
- Valid operation on state ("logic gate") is any unitary map.

$$|\psi\rangle \to U|\psi\rangle \qquad U^{\dagger}U = I$$
$$U|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

That's it!







#### Classical gates







#### Quantum gates











-M

12

# Exponential speedups?



- If n two-level quantum systems ("qubits") are described by  $O(2^n)$  numbers, does this always give us an exponential speedup?
- No!
- Holevo's theorem:
  - Can only *retrieve* n bits of information from n qubits.

If you take just one piece of information from this blog: Quantum computers would not solve hard search problems instantaneously by simply trying all the possible solutions at once. -Scott Aaronson

• Given rules of quantum computation, *turns out* there are certain tasks we can perform faster.

# Quantum algorithms



Polynomial to exponential speedups

- Integer factoring, discrete logarithms
  - Breaks RSA, Diffie-Hellman, elliptic-curve cryptography

#### Quantum simulation

- Condensed matter physics
- Quantum field theory
- Chemical dynamics- pharmaceuticals, fuels, materials
- Database searching
- Semidefinite programming

#### Over 50 more!

http://math.nist.gov/quantum/zoo

# Quantum chemistry

With only 200 error-free qubits, a quantum computer could unravel biological nitrogen fixation [1]. Currently, the Haber-Bosch process consumes 2% of the world's annual energy supply.





#### Ammonia





Reiher et al., arXiv:1605.03590 (2016)



# Where are the quantum computers?!



### Quantum chips







# Competing technologies



- Trapped atoms, ions
  - Qubit is single valence electron in ion or atom.
    - Sandia, UMD, UW, USydney, NIST, IonQ ...
- Semiconductors
  - Qubit is localized electron or quasiparticle in semiconductor device.
    - Sandia, UW, UNSW, Princeton, Microsoft\* ...
- Superconductors
  - Qubit is in state of superconducting circuit.
    - UW, TUDelft, Google, IBM\*\*, Rigetti ...
      - All have advantages and disadvantages

\*Hybrid technology

\*\* https://quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/qx/

# Building qubits is hard!



- Quantum information is *fragile*.
- Small energy scales
- Low temperature
- Sensitive to environment
  - Heat
  - Light
  - Vibrations
  - Other qubits!
- Quantum states *decohere* quickly
  - E.g., IBM:  $T_2 \approx 100 \ \mu s$ ;  $T_{gate} \approx 100 \ ns \rightarrow T_2/T_{gate} \approx 1000$
- Quantum gates are noisy too!
  - Gate error rates  $\approx 10^{-5} 10^{-2}$

# Building qubits is hard!



- Gate error rates  $\approx 10^{-5} 10^{-2}$
- Modern transistors: 10<sup>-28</sup>
- ENIAC: 10<sup>-15</sup>
- Need error correction!

### Quantum error correction



- Take collection of *physical* qubits and encode in it a single *logical* qubit.
- Example Repetition code:

 $\begin{array}{l} |0\rangle \rightarrow |000\rangle \\ |1\rangle \rightarrow |111\rangle \end{array}$ 

- Corrects single bit flip errors
- For more general errors, use more complicated (and larger) codes.

### Fault-tolerance



- Quantum error correction (QEC) suppresses errors.
- Threshold theorem: If physical error rates are below some threshold, QEC can suppress noise to arbitrarily low levels.
  - Concatenation
  - Larger codes
- Most general threshold: error rate of 6.7 10<sup>-4</sup>.
- That threshold surpassed at SNL!
  - ... only for a single trapped-ion qubit.
- We have a ways to go!



Trading quality for quantity

Factoring a 2000-bit number:

- $10^{12}$  quops deep, 4,000 lqb wide (error-free)
- $10^{-3}$  error: 1.02 Gqb (physical).
- $10^{-4}$  error: 130 Mqb (physical).

- Best technologies today: 10-20 physical qubits.
- We have a ways to go!

Fowler et al., Phys. Rev. A 86, 032324 (2012)

Slide in part c/o Andrew Landahl/Sandia

# Future directions

- Hardware
  - Getting physical error rates down
  - Solving scalability
    - Wires, lasers, fridges, etc.
  - ••••
- Software
  - Development of quantum programming languages (QASM, LIQUi|>, ...)
  - Development of new algorithms

Developing quantum algorithms is like being a computer programmer





# Take-aways (again!)



- The axioms governing quantum computation are *different* from those governing classical computation.
- 2. Quantum computers will be able to perform *certain* computational tasks faster as a result.
- 3. This is *not true* for general tasks.
- 4. The quantum speedups will probably still have tremendous societal impact.
- Thanks to Andrew Landahl (SNL) and you!